# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: JOHNSON & JOHNSON TALCUM POWDER PRODUCTS MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 16-2738 (FLW)(LHG) JUDGE FREDA L. WOLFSON MAG. JUDGE LOIS H. GOODMAN

This document relates to: Shirley A. Ateek

# SHORT FORM COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

The Plaintiff(s) named below file(s) this Short Form Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial against Defendants named below by and through the undersigned counsel. Plaintiff(s) incorporate(s) by reference the allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Master Long Form Complaint in In re: Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 2738 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. Plaintiff(s) file(s) this Short Form Complaint as permitted by Case Management Order No.1 of this Court.

In addition to those causes of action contained in *Plaintiffs' Master*Long Form Complaint, where certain claims require specific pleadings and/or amendments, Plaintiff(s) shall add and include them herein.

## **IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES**

# Identification of Plaintiff(s)

of the \_\_\_\_\_, having been duly appointed

as the \_\_\_\_\_\_by the Court of

7. As a result of using talcum powder products, Plaintiff/Decedent suffered personal and economic injuries that are alleged to have been caused by the use of the products identified in Paragraph 16 below, but not limited to, the following:

\_\_\_\_\_\_ injury to herself
\_\_\_\_\_\_ injury to the person represented
\_\_\_\_\_\_ wrongful death
\_\_\_\_\_ survivorship action
\_\_\_\_\_\_ X economic loss
\_\_\_\_\_\_ loss of services
\_\_\_\_\_\_ loss of consortium
other: Punitive Damages

# **Identification of Defendants**

- 8. Plaintiff(s) Decedent Plaintiff(s) is/are suing the following Defendant(s) (please check all that apply)':
  - Johnson & Johnson
  - Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.

If additional Counts and/or Counts directed to other Defendants are alleged by the specific Plaintiff(s) as to whom this *Short Form Complaint* applies, the specific facts supporting these allegations must be pleaded by the Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the Defendants against whom they are alleged must be specifically identified on a separate sheet of paper attached to this *Short Form Complaint*.

- Imerys Talc America, Inc. ("Imerys Talc")
- Personal Care Products Council ("PCPC")

#### **Additional Defendants:**

o Other(s) Defendant(s) (please specify): N/A

#### JURISDICTION & VENUE

#### Jurisdiction:

- 9. Jurisdiction in this Short Form Complaint is based on:
  - Diversity of Citizenship
- Other (The basis of any additional ground for jurisdiction must be pled in sufficient detail as required by the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure).

#### Venue:

10. District Court(s) and Division (if any) in which venue was proper where you might have otherwise filed this Short Form Complaint absent the direct filing Order entered by this Court and to where remand could be ordered by the Judicial Panel for trial: United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida

# CASE SPECIFIC FACTS

11. Plaintiff(s) currently reside(s) in (City, State):
Plantation, Florida
12. At the time of the Plaintiff's/Decedent's diagnosis with a talcum
powder product(s) injury, Plaintiff/Decedent resided in (City, State):
Palm Coast, Florida
13. The Plaintiff/Decedent was diagnosed with a talcum powder
product(s) injury in (City/State): <u>Jacksonville</u> , <u>Florida</u> on
May 2012 (date).
14. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge, Plaintiff/Decedent began using
talcum powder product(s) on or about the following date: May 1999
and continued the use of talcum powder product(s) through about the
following date: May 2004
15. The Plaintiff/Decedent purchased talcum powder product(s) in the
following (State(s)): Florida
16. Plaintiff/Decedent used the following talcum powder products:
Johnson & Johnson's Baby Powder
Shower to Shower

### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

- 17. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* as if fully set forth herein.

  18. The following claims and allegations asserted in the Master *Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand* are herein adopted by reference by Plaintiff(s):
  - Count I: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against Imerys Talc)
  - Count II: Products Liability Strict Liability Failure to Warn (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - Count III: Products Liability Strict Liability Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against Imerys Talc)
  - Count IV: Products Liability Strict Liability Defective Manufacturer and Design (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - Count V: Breach of Express Warranties (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - Count VI: Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - O Count VII: Breach of Implied Warranty of Fitness for a Particular Purpose (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - Count VIII: Negligence (Against Imerys Talc)
  - Count IX: Negligence (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - o Count X: Negligence (Against PCPC)

- Count XI: Negligent Misrepresentation (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- D Count XII: Fraud (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
- D Count XIII: Fraud (Against PCPC)
- Count XIV: Violation of State Consumer Protection Laws of the State of Florida (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants).
  - D Count XV: Fraudulent Concealment (Against Imerys Talc)
  - D Count XVI: Fraudulent Concealment (Against the Johnson & Johnson Defendants)
  - D Count XVII: Fraudulent Concealment (Against PCPC)
  - o Count XVIII: Civil Conspiracy (Against All Defendants)
  - o Count XIX: Loss of Consortium (Against All Defendants)
  - Count XX: Punitive Damages (Against All Defendants)
  - Count XXI: Discovery Rule and Tolling (Against All Defendants)
  - D Count XXII: Wrongful Death (Against All Defendants)
  - o Count XXIII: Survival Action (Against All Defendants)
- D Furthermore, Plaintiff(s) assert(s) the following additional theories and/or State Causes of Action against Defendant(s) identified in Paragraph nine (9) above. If Plaintiff(s) includes additional theories of

recovery, to the extent they require specificity in pleadings, the specific facts and allegations supporting these theories must be pled by Plaintiff(s) in a manner complying with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and judgment against Defendants of compensatory damages, punitive damages, interest, costs of suit and such further relief as the Court deems equitable and just, and as set forth in the Master Long Form Complaint as

appropriate.

#### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

Dated: 07/10/2018

Respectfully submitted by,
DOROTHY V. MAIER, PA
435 South Ridgewood Avenue
Suite 101
Daytona Beach, Florida 32114
386-761-0951
dorothymaier2013@gmail.com
Counsel for Plaintiff, Shirley A. Ateek

70

/s/ Dorothy V. Maier

By:

Dorothy V. Maier, Esq. FBN 338176